

United States Patent and Trademark Office

9

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
01/11/2001	Gabriele Multhoff	105032-991230	1173
590 08/24/2006		EXAMINER	
JONES			
		APTIBUT	DARED MINORD
STREET SUITE 350 SCO, CA 94105		ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
	01/11/2001 590 08/24/2006 L JONES CARROLL LLP D STREET SUITE 350	01/11/2001 Gabriele Multhoff 590 08/24/2006 JONES CARROLL LLP D STREET SUITE 350	01/11/2001 Gabriele Multhoff 105032-991230 590 08/24/2006 EXAM JONES CARROLL LLP STREET SUITE 350 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) MULTHOFF, GABRIELE Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 09/646,835 (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner **Art Unit** 1643 Christopher Yaen -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--The Appeal Brief filed on 10 August 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper 1. 🔯 heading or in the proper order. 2. \square The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 5. 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 7. 🔯 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). 10.🔯 Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The claimed invention is not mapped individually to independent claims 61,72 and 83, which shall refer to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any. The grounds of rejection listed in section VI does not cite the 112 claims rejection, 35 USC 112. The claims appendix should not list claim 69 with markings, also withdrawn claim 49 should not be list in the claims appendix.

Bridget C. Monroe
Patent Appeals Specialist

& Cllner